

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/018,202	12/13/2001	Thomas Blakeley	604.31-US1 4925	
34284	7590 10/04/2006		EXAMINER	
ROBERT		LASTRA, DANIEL		
	TUCKER LLP NBLVD 14TH FLOOR		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
COSTA MI	ESA, CA 92626-1931		3622	
			DATE MAILED: 10/04/2006	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/018,202	BLAKELEY ET AL.			
Office Action	Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		DANIEL LASTRA	3622			
The MAILING DATE Period for Reply	E of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress		
WHICHEVER IS LONGE  - Extensions of time may be availal after SIX (6) MONTHS from the m  - If NO period for reply is specified  - Failure to reply within the set or e.	R, FROM THE MAILING DA ble under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: nailing date of this communication. above, the maximum statutory period v xtended period for reply will, by statute ater than three months after the mailing	Y IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE 10 date of this communication, even if timely filed	N. nely filed the mailing date of this con D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1) Responsive to com	munication(s) filed on 12 Fe	ebruary 2003				
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINA</b>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	action is non-final.				
<u>'=</u>	<i>'</i>	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the	merits is		
		x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45				
Disposition of Claims	·	•				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <i>1-15</i> is/are	pending in the application.					
	nim(s) is/are withdray					
5)						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are						
7) Claim(s) is/a	•					
	subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
Application Papers		·				
	objected to by the Examine	r				
			Evaminar			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
		ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	* *	0 4 404/4\		
		aminer. Note the attached Office				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	19					
12) Acknowledgment is a) All b) Some *		priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).			
1. Certified copi	es of the priority documents	s have been received.				
		s have been received in Application	on No			
		ity documents have been receive		tage		
	om the International Bureau			_		
* See the attached deta	ailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	d.			
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (P1	ГО-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Paten	t Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te			
<ul><li>Information Disclosure Stateme Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>02/27/2</u></li></ul>		5) Notice of Informal Pa	atent Application			

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Page 2

1. Claims 1-15 have been examined. Application 10/018,202 (CREATION OF CUSTOM MESSAGES USING VIRTUAL PROSPECTING) has a filing date 12/13/2001 and is a national stage entry of PCT/US00/08114 International Filing Date: 03/24/2000.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. Claims 1 recites "creating a second commercial manually individualized at least in part on the response of the recipient", claim 2 recites "manually selecting the recipient from a list of prospective recipients" and claim 15 recites that the creating of a second commercial individualized at least in part on the response of the recipient to the commercial is executed automatically by an electronic agent. Applicant's specification does not clearly teach the definition of the term "manually" and Applicant's claims refers of manually creating a second commercial and at the same time automatically creating the same second commercial.

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Said claims recite "creating a second electronic commercial manually individualized". For purpose of art rejection, the term "manually individualized" would be interpreted as a person using a computer keyboard to select a recipient from a list. Claim 15 recites the limitation "to the commercial are executed automatically by an electronic agent". Said limitation is indefinite because it is not clear if the "the commercial" refers to the first or the second commercial.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Goldhaber (US 5,855,008).

Claim 1, Goldhaber teaches:

A method of advertising, comprising:

sending a first individualized electronic commercial to a recipient (see col 18, lines 49-61);

tracking a fast response-of the recipient to the first electronic commercial (see col 18, lines 49-61); creating a second electronic commercial individualized at least in part on the response of the recipient to the first commercial (see col 6, lines 1-7; col 18, lines

Application/Control Number: 10/018,202 Page 4

Art Unit: 3622

49-61); sending the second electronic commercial to the recipient (see col 7, lines 45-67); and tracking a second response of the recipient to the second electronic commercial (see col 18, lines 49-61; col 7, lines 47-67; col 16, lines 10-25). Goldhaber does not clearly teach that said second commercial is "manually" individualized. However, Official Notice is taken that it is old and well known in the computer art to target advertisements to recipients by manually selecting said recipients from a list using a computer's keyboard. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the application was made, to know that a salesman in Goldhaber would target ads to prospective buyers¹ by manually selecting said buyers from a list of prospective buyers using a computer's keyboard as it is old and well known to do so and specially when Goldhaber already teaches that it is old and well known to "manually" create "news groups" or "chat groups" and then advertised said groups by email or word of mouth.

Claim 2, Goldhaber teaches:

The method of claim 1 wherein the step of sending the first electronic commercial to the recipient includes selecting the recipient from a list of prospective recipients (see col 5, lines 30-35). <u>Goldhaber</u> does not expressly teach "manually" selecting. However, the same argument made in claim 1 regarding this missing limitation is also made in claim 2.

Claim 3, Goldhaber teaches:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Goldhaber col 5, lines 20-25

Application/Control Number: 10/018,202

Art Unit: 3622

The method of claim 1 wherein the step of sending the first electronic commercial to the recipient including selecting the first commercial from a list of available

Page 5

commercials (see col 5, lines 30-35).

Claim 4, Goldhaber teaches:

The method of claim 1 wherein the step of sending the first electronic commercial to the recipient includes assembling the first electronic commercial from a plurality of alternative components based at least in part upon a previously obtained marketing characteristic of the recipient (see col 5, lines 30-40).

Claim 5, Goldhaber teaches:

The method of claim 1 wherein the step of creating a second electronic commercial includes assembling the second electronic commercial from a plurality of alternative components based in part upon a previously obtained marketing characteristic of the recipient (see col 18, lines 50-61; col 16, lines 15-25).

Claim 6, Goldhaber teaches:

The method of claim 1 wherein the step of sending the first electronic commercial to the recipient includes assembling the first electronic commercial from a plurality of alternative components based at least in part upon a first previously obtained marketing characteristic of the recipient (see col 5, lines 30-35), and the step of creating a second electronic commercial includes assembling the second electronic commercial from the plurality of alternative components based in part upon a second previously obtained marketing characteristic of the recipient (see col 19, lines 55-65; col 7, lines 45-65).

Claim 7, Goldhaber teaches:

Application/Control Number: 10/018,202 Page 6

Art Unit: 3622

The method of claim I wherein both the first electronic commercial and the second electronic commercial are executable files (see col 7, lines 45-65).

Claim 8, Goldhaber teaches:

The method of claim I wherein the commercial includes an identification code (see col 7, lines 25-50).

Claim 9, Goldhaber teaches:

The method of claim I wherein the second commercial is communicated to the recipient as-an attachment to an e-mail (see col 20, lines 57-67).

Claim 10, Goldhaber teaches:

The method of claim 1 wherein the commercial includes a hyperlink to a web site (see col 16, lines 11-25).

Claim 11, Goldhaber teaches:

The method of claim 1 wherein the step of tracking includes determining whether the first electronic commercial is opened (see col 18, lines 49-62).

Claim 12, Goldhaber teaches:

The method of claim 1 wherein the step of tracking includes initiating a substantially synchronous link between the recipient and a person causing the first electronic commercial to be sent to the recipient (see col 20, line 59 – col 21, line 2).

Claim 13, Goldhaber teaches:

The method of claim 12 wherein the substantially synchronous link comprises a telephone call (see col 7, lines 60-65; col 13, lines 5-7).

Claim 14, Goldhaber teaches:

Application/Control Number: 10/018,202

Art Unit: 3622

The method of claim 12 wherein the substantially synchronous link comprises a

chat site (see col 20, line 59 – col 21, line 4).

Claim 15, Goldhaber teaches:

The method of claim 1 wherein at least one of the steps of sending a first

electronic commercial to a recipient and creating a second electronic commercial

individualized at least in part on the response of the recipient to the commercial are

executed automatically by an electronic agent (see col 19, lines 39-67).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to DANIEL LASTRA whose telephone number is 571-272-

6720 and fax 571-273-6720. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, ERIC W. STAMBER can be reached on 571-272-6724. The official Fax

number is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

100

**Daniel Lastra** 

September 23, 2006

RETTAYEHDEGA

Page 7